

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/664,963 09/22/2003 Satoru Inarida		Satoru Inarida	648.43135X00	4963		
20457 75	590 09/16/2005	EXAMINER				
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			MCCARRY J	MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J		
SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-3873		3617			

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/664,963	INARIDA, SATORU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	3617		

Advisory Action	70/004,903	114ARIDA, SATORO				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		correspondence add	ress			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have						
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the case of the shortened standard in the case of the shortened standard in the case of the cas	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
B. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below), (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or			,			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-	jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	i):	·				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·		-			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or atta	ched.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13.	PM	· Co				
	FORTS.	CARTAUR KAMMER S.JO	SEPH MORANO			
	34	1. 1	OV DATERIT CVARIA			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Ke SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNICI OGY CENTER 3600
Part of Paper No. 20050907

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims do not further show the novel features of the invention.